

F.E.M Building Design.
FAO: Douglas Mack
8 Plantain Grove
Lenzie
Glasgow
Scotland

G66 3NE

Mr Tabassum & Mrs Francesca Sharif. 7B Redford Gardens Edinburgh Scotland EH13 0AR

Decision date: 16 August 2019

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACTS DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2013

Erect a double storey extension to side of dwelling house. At 7B Redford Gardens Edinburgh EH13 0AR

Application No: 19/03104/FUL

DECISION NOTICE

With reference to your application for Planning Permission registered on 27 June 2019, this has been decided by **Local Delegated Decision**. The Council in exercise of its powers under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Acts and regulations, now determines the application as **Refused** in accordance with the particulars given in the application.

Any condition(s) attached to this consent, with reasons for imposing them, or reasons for refusal, are shown below;

Conditions:-

Reasons:-

- 1. The proposal is contrary to Edinburgh Local Development Plan Policy Des 12 because its scale, form and design are unacceptable and would not accord with the character and appearance of the host property or the surrounding area.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to non-statutory Guidance for Householders which states side extensions should be set behind the front line of the host property, unless this fits in with the character of the street. The proposal will breach the current building line. This is not characteristic of the current streetscape and represents overdevelopment of the site.

Please see the guidance notes on our <u>decision page</u> for further information, including how to appeal or review your decision.

Drawings 01-03, represent the determined scheme. Full details of the application can be found on the <u>Planning and Building Standards Online Services</u>

The reason why the Council made this decision is as follows:

The proposed scale, form and design is unacceptable and would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the host property and surrounding area. The proposal would be unacceptable by virtue of the breach of the current building line of the application site by the proposed two storey extension, which would unbalance the property, and would have a detrimental impact on the existing character of the street. The proposal is contrary to ELDP Policy Des 12 and the non-statutory Guidance for Householders. There are no material planning considerations which would justify approval.

This determination does not carry with it any necessary consent or approval for the proposed development under other statutory enactments.

Should you have a specific enquiry regarding this decision please contact Christopher Sillick directly on 0131 529 3522.

Chief Planning Officer

DR Leelie

PLACE

The City of Edinburgh Council

NOTES

- 1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision to refuse permission for or approval required by a condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the applicant may require the planning authority to review the case under section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months beginning with the date of this notice. The Notice of Review can be made online at www.eplanning.scot or forms can be downloaded from that website. Paper forms should be addressed to the City of Edinburgh Planning Local Review Body, G.2, Waverley Court, 4 East Market Street, Edinburgh, EH8 8BG. For enquiries about the Local Review Body, please email localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

Report of Handling

Application for Planning Permission 19/03104/FUL At 7B Redford Gardens, Edinburgh, EH13 0AR Erect a double storey extension to side of dwelling house.

Item Local Delegated Decision

Application number 19/03104/FUL

Wards B08 - Colinton/Fairmilehead

Summary

The proposed scale, form and design is unacceptable and would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the host property and surrounding area. The proposal would be unacceptable by virtue of the breach of the current building line of the application site by the proposed two storey extension, which would unbalance the property, and would have a detrimental impact on the existing character of the street. The proposal is contrary to ELDP Policy Des 12 and the non-statutory Guidance for Householders. There are no material planning considerations which would justify approval.

Links

Policies and guidance for this application

LDPP, LDES12, NSG, NSHOU,

Report of handling

Recommendations

1.1 It is recommended that this application be Refused for the reasons below.

Background

2.1 Site description

The application site is a two-storey, detached, property located on a corner plot on the north side of Redford Gardens.

2.2 Site History

There is no relevant planning history for this site.

Main report

3.1 Description Of The Proposal

Convert existing garage into habitable room and erect two storey side extension.

3.2 Determining Issues

Section 25 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 states - Where, in making any determination under the planning Acts, regard is to be had to the development plan, the determination shall be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Do the proposals comply with the development plan?

If the proposals do comply with the development plan, are there any compelling reasons for not approving them?

If the proposals do not comply with the development plan, are there any compelling reasons for approving them?

3.3 Assessment

To address these determining issues, it needs to be considered whether:

- a) The proposal is of an acceptable scale, form and design and will not be detrimental to neighbourhood character:
- b) The proposal will result in an unreasonable loss of neighbouring amenity.

a) The proposal is for the existing garage to be converted into a family living space, with a two storey extension to accommodate a new garage on the ground floor, and additional bedrooms on the first floor. Due to the shape of the plot of land the application site occupies, the proposed two storey extnesion will breach the current building line of the property by approximately 1.2 metres.

The shape of the plot to be developed prevents further development to the rear of the property, and in order to find space for the proposed new garage and extra bedrooms the design solution has been to build forward of the current property. The current proposal would increase the footprint of the property by 58 square metres. In this case the applicant was advised that a more modest scheme, which did not breach the current building line of the property could in principle be acceptable. The plot may accomodate a more modest scheme, but the current design, set forward of the existing house, would unbalance the property, and would represent over-development of the site.

Council guidance on the design and location of side extensions states that in achieving an extension that will fit in with the original building and respect its neighbours, the extension should be set behind the front line of the existing dwelling to give a clear definition

between the new design and the existing building. Guidance for Householders further states that extensions that project beyond the principal elevation line are not generally allowed unless this fits in with the local character of the street. The proposed breach of the current building line would not be in keeping with the character of the street and would not comply with Council guidance.

In the case of Redford Gardens, the street is characterised by a row of modest, two storey, terraced houses, with attractive front gardens, driveways, hedge planting and trees lining the street. A handful of small single storey garages are also located to the side of some houses. The application site itself is unusual in the context of the street. It is a large, detached, two storey property, occupying a corner plot on the south-west end of Redford Gardens, where the street meets Redford Walk.

The application site already breaches the building line set by the terraced properties which run north-east along the street. Despite this, the view looking north-east along Redford Gardens from where it meets Redford Walk is characterised by a view of attractive gardens, a range of planting and small driveways. A further breach of the building line by the proposed two storey extension would block existing views. The view would instead be entirely dominated by the large, two storey extension. Likewise the proposed extension would dominate the foreground of the street, whilst looking southwest. Although some of the smaller single storey garages in the street sit slightly forward of the properties they adjoin, a breach of the nature proposed would be incongruous with the current streetscape.

The proposed two storey extension is unacceptable. It would breach the building line of the existing property and represents overdevelopment of the site. It would not fit in with the existing character of the street, and as such would not comply with Local Policy Des 12 or the Council's non-statory Guidance for Householders.

b) The proposal fully accords with the criteria in the 'Guidance for Householders' in relation to the protection of neighbouring amenity.

It is recommended that this application be Refused for the reasons below.

3.4 Conditions/reasons/informatives

Reasons:-

- 1. The proposal is contrary to Edinburgh Local Development Plan Policy Des 12 because its scale, form and design are unacceptable and would not accord with the character and appearance of the host property or the surrounding area.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to non-statutory Guidance for Householders which states side extensions should be set behind the front line of the host property, unless this fits in with the character of the street. The proposal will breach the current building line. This is not characteristic of the current streetscape and represents overdevelopment of the site.

Risk, Policy, compliance and governance impact

4.1 Provided planning applications are determined in accordance with statutory legislation, the level of risk is low.

Equalities impact

5.1 The equalities impact has been assessed as follows:

The application has been assessed and has no impact in terms of equalities or human rights.

Consultation and engagement

6.1 Pre-Application Process

There is no pre-application process history.

6.2 Publicity summary of representations and Community Council comments

No representations have been received.

Background reading / external references

- To view details of the application go to
- Planning and Building Standards online services

Statutory Development

Plan Provision Edinburgh Local Development Plan.

Date registered 27 June 2019

Drawing numbers/Scheme 01-03

Scheme 1

David R. Leslie Chief Planning Officer PLACE The City of Edinburgh Council

Contact: Christopher Sillick, Planning Officer

E-mail:christopher.sillick@edinburgh.gov.uk Tel:0131 529 3522

Links - Policies

Relevant Policies:

Relevant policies of the Local Development Plan.

LDP Policy Des 12 (Alterations and Extensions) sets criteria for assessing alterations and extensions to existing buildings.

Relevant Non-Statutory Guidelines

Non-statutory guidelines 'GUIDANCE FOR HOUSEHOLDERS' provides guidance for proposals to alter or extend houses or flats.

Appendix 1

Consultations

No Consultations received.

END



Business Centre G.2 Waverley Court 4 East Market Street Edinburgh EH8 8BG Tel: 0131 529 3550 Fax: 0131 529 6206 Email: planning.systems@edinburgh.gov.uk

Applications cannot be validated until all the necessary documentation has been submitted and the required fee has been paid.

Thank you for completing this application form:

ONLINE REFERENCE

100171607-002

The online reference is the unique reference for your online form only. The Planning Authority will allocate an Application Number when your form is validated. Please quote this reference if you need to contact the planning Authority about this application. **Applicant or Agent Details** Are you an applicant or an agent? * (An agent is an architect, consultant or someone else acting ☐ Applicant ☒ Agent on behalf of the applicant in connection with this application) **Agent Details** Please enter Agent details F.E.M Building Design Company/Organisation: Ref. Number: You must enter a Building Name or Number, or both: * Douglas First Name: * **Building Name:** 8 Mack **Building Number:** Last Name: * Address 1 Plantain Grove Telephone Number: * (Street): 3 Lenzie **Extension Number:** Address 2: Glasgow Town/City: * Mobile Number: Scotland Fax Number: Country: * G66 3NE Postcode: * Email Address: * Is the applicant an individual or an organisation/corporate entity? * ☑ Individual ☐ Organisation/Corporate entity

Applicant Details						
Please enter Applicant details						
Title:	Other	You must enter a Bu	You must enter a Building Name or Number, or both: *			
Other Title:	Mr & Mrs	Building Name:				
First Name: *	Tabassum & Francesca	Building Number:	7B			
Last Name: *	Sharif	Address 1 (Street): *	Redford Gardens			
Company/Organisation		Address 2:				
Telephone Number: *		Town/City: *	Edinburgh			
Extension Number:		Country: *	Scotland			
Mobile Number:		Postcode: *	EH13 0AR			
Fax Number:						
Email Address: *						
Site Address Details						
Planning Authority:	City of Edinburgh Council					
Full postal address of the site (including postcode where available):						
Address 1:	7B REDFORD GARDENS					
Address 2:						
Address 3:						
Address 4:						
Address 5:						
Town/City/Settlement:	EDINBURGH					
Post Code:	EH13 0AR					
Please identify/describe the location of the site or sites						
Northing	668869	Easting	322476			

Please provide a list of all supporting documents, materials and evidence which you wish to to rely on in support of your review. You can attach these documents electronically later in the					
Appeal Statement Aerial photo 7no. photographs					
Application Details					
lease provide details of the application and decision.					
What is the application reference number? *	19/03104/FUL				
What date was the application submitted to the planning authority? *	27/06/2019	7/06/2019			
What date was the decision issued by the planning authority? *	16/08/2019]			
Review Procedure					
The Local Review Body will decide on the procedure to be used to determine your review and may at any time during the review process require that further information or representations be made to enable them to determine the review. Further information may be required by one or a combination of procedures, such as: written submissions; the holding of one or more hearing sessions and/or inspecting the land which is the subject of the review case.					
Can this review continue to a conclusion, in your opinion, based on a review of the relevant information provided by yourself and other parties only, without any further procedures? For example, written submission, hearing session, site inspection. * Yes \sum No					
In the event that the Local Review Body appointed to consider your application decides to in	spect the site, in your o	pinion:			
Can the site be clearly seen from a road or public land? *	\boxtimes	Yes No			
Is it possible for the site to be accessed safely and without barriers to entry? *	X	Yes No			
Checklist – Application for Notice of Review					
Please complete the following checklist to make sure you have provided all the necessary information in support of your appeal. Failure to submit all this information may result in your appeal being deemed invalid.					
Have you provided the name and address of the applicant?. *	🛛 Yes 🗌	No			
Have you provided the date and reference number of the application which is the subject of review? *	this X Yes	No			
If you are the agent, acting on behalf of the applicant, have you provided details of your nam and address and indicated whether any notice or correspondence required in connection wit review should be sent to you or the applicant? *		No N/A			
Have you provided a statement setting out your reasons for requiring a review and by what procedure (or combination of procedures) you wish the review to be conducted? *	X Yes □	No			
Note: You must state, in full, why you are seeking a review on your application. Your statement must set out all matters you consider require to be taken into account in determining your review. You may not have a further opportunity to add to your statement of review at a later date. It is therefore essential that you submit with your notice of review, all necessary information and evidence that you rely on and wish the Local Review Body to consider as part of your review.					
Please attach a copy of all documents, material and evidence which you intend to rely on (e.g. plans and Drawings) which are now the subject of this review *	X Yes	No			
Note: Where the review relates to a further application e.g. renewal of planning permission or modification, variation or removal of a planning condition or where it relates to an application for approval of matters specified in conditions, it is advisable to provide the application reference number, approved plans and decision notice (if any) from the earlier consent.					

Declare - Notice of Review

I/We the applicant/agent certify that this is an application for review on the grounds stated.

Declaration Name: Mr Douglas Mack

Declaration Date: 09/10/2019

Proposal Details

Proposal Name 100171607

Proposal Description Extend dwellinghouse

Address 7B REDFORD GARDENS, EDINBURGH, EH13

0AR

Local Authority City of Edinburgh Council

Application Online Reference 100171607-002

Application Status

Form	complete
Main Details	complete
Checklist	complete
Declaration	complete
Supporting Documentation	complete
Email Notification	complete

Attachment Details

Notice of Review	System	A4
Planning Appeal Statement	Attached	Not Applicable
Aerial photo	Attached	Not Applicable
9 and 11 Redford Gardens looking	Attached	Not Applicable
North East		
11 Redford Gradens indicating garage	Attached	Not Applicable
extension		
Building line of Redford Gardens	Attached	Not Applicable
looking North East at Junction with		
Redford Walk		
Corner image of 7B redford Gardens	Attached	Not Applicable
looking south west		
Front elevation 11 Redford Gardens	Attached	Not Applicable
Front elevation 9 Redford Gardens	Attached	Not Applicable
Redford Gardens looking south west	Attached	Not Applicable
from11 Redford Gardens		
Notice_of_Review-2.pdf	Attached	A0
Application_Summary.pdf	Attached	A0
Notice of Review-002.xml	Attached	A0

The reason we are seeking a review of the refusal of Planning Permission at 7B Redford Gardens, Edinburgh, is that the reasons for refusal, are in our opinion unsubstantiated. The reason provided that 'the proposal in its scale, form and design is unacceptable and would not accord with the character and appearance of the host property or it's surrounding area' is unjustified in this particular circumstance. We would also suggest that a precedence has been set by the host property in that it does not follow any particular building line in the current streetscape. It is our opinion that our proposal will not have any greater impact on the immediate area than that which the existing property at 7B Redford Gardens, a corner plot suggests at present. We would therefore request that the Local Review Body share the opinion that the proposal will not have a detrimental effect on the existing site and property area or it's surrounding environment. The existing dwellinghouse was built approximately 2530 years ago and was a 'stand alone' build in a street of red brick semi detached properties built circa 1960's.

In the Planning refusal it is indicated that the fact the proposal will impact on the existing streetscape and building lines. It would appear from the 1:1250 Location plan that the front of the property at 7B Redford Gardens is in fact forward of the building line created along the frontage of numbers 9 & 11 Redford Gardens. This, however is slightly misleading due to the fact that it would appear that both o-f these properties have had the front of their garages extended out towards the front of the properties, one can only assume with formal Planning Permission in place. As a result, the building line of the properties at number 9 & 11 is at odds with the remaining properties in the street. It is difficult to be clear on what the building line is in the street or indeed whether there actually is a building line. All of ‡this suggests that when the Planning approval was agreed for the erection of the house at 7B Redford Gardens, that the front line of this new dwellinghouse did not follow any particular front building line in the streetscape. It is our opinion that the by allowing the two storey side extension to project forward of the front of the existing house that this will in no way affect any 'historic' front building line by any greater degree than that which exists presently. The fact that the house is a 'corner plot' allows itself to contrast with the older semi detached properties further along the street but in no way impacts the streetscape by any greater degree than the existing site.

As mentioned above, we would reiterate that <code>Tthe</code> reason for refusal (no.1), states being that 'the proposal in its scale, form and design is unacceptable and would not accord with the character and appearance of the host property or it's surrounding area' is in our opinion not substantiated. The existing dwellinghouse is a 'one off' building in the street, perhaps even in the whole of the Redford area and does not appear to accord with the surrounding area as it stands. We would suggest that the design of the proposed extension, although slightly forward of the front elevation of the original house is not detrimental to what is a bespoke property in the locale and in no ways causes any greater impact upon the host property or it's surrounding area. The existing front garden will be not be impacted as a result of the proposed extension and 2 car parking spaces in addition to the garage can be provided. A substantial rear garden would also be retained, over 115m2 in area providing a high level of amenity to the property.

To summarise, <code>i</code>-t is our opinion that the proposed extension will not have a negative impact on the amenity of the surrounding area and the wider street scene and will not cause any greater impact to the building lines than that exists at present. We would also suggest that <code>the</code> proposal does not impact <code>upon the</code> character and appearance of the host property, it immediate neighbours and the wider street scene. We would, therefore request that you consider our appeal in a manner which leads to a favourable outcome for my client.

We thought it would be useful to provide photographic evidence to assist with this appeal – of which is attached.















